

# Texas Open Letter On Pets

**Fellow texans-----**

**Please carefully read the message below and Afterwards, carefully read the attachments! Forward this message to all your members!**

WHAT HAPPENS WHEN GROUPS ACTIVE IN ADOPTING HOMELESS PETS MENTION SPAY FOR OVERPOPULATION, BUT DON'T MENTION SPAY TO PREVENT MAMMARY CANCER AND INFECTIONS? DOGS ARE DYING AN EARLY DEATH THAT COULD BE PREVENTED WITH A NOTICE OF ONLY 12 WORDS AT ALL WEBSITES--- "UNSPAYED DOGS ARE AT RISK OF DEADLY MAMMARY CANCER AND UTERINE INFECTIONS." THE BEST ADOPTION GROUPS HAVE BEEN POSTING THIS WARNING ALL ALONG! SO MANY DOGS ARE ACQUIRED BY SOURCES NOT REQUIRING MANDATORY SPAY TO ADOPT; PLEASE DON'T LEAVE WARNING ONLY TO VETERINARIANS WHEN YOU COULD DO IT IN JUST 12 WORDS! ANYONE COULD SEE ANY WEBSITE AT ANY TIME AND BE WARNED! WILL ANYONE STEP UP AND SAY "WE WON'T DO THIS" BECAUSE IT'S OK TO DENY THE VITAL KEY OF KNOWLEDGE TO MANY TEXANS? IF PEOPLE HAVE 3 REASONS TO SPAY RATHER THAN ONE REASON ONLY, THEY'LL FEEL BETTER ABOUT IT!

Received from Living Love Animal Rescue, Marble Falls Texas---

Our Rescue agrees with you. On our Facebook Page we recently posted the reasons for Spay/Neuter. All three reasons were given.

**PLEASE SIGN PETITION---share on Facebook and Twitter---**

**<https://www.thepetitionsite.com/540/278/482/city-animals-shelters-amp-private-rescue-groups-not-warning-people-about-mammary-cancer>**

**Please visit site after reviewing this message and attachments---**  
**[www.texaspetprotect.org](http://www.texaspetprotect.org)**

Greetings from Tarrant County--- (Many concerned parties are receiving this same message besides the addresses that appear here; the same contents to be sent to over 2,600 parties statewide) ---If duplicate message is received, delete. Please don't complain about more than one person in your organization getting this message; I don't know who is receptive and who isn't, though I can't see why anyone should be against reducing canine cancer deaths! Due to mine is a one person effort and that I'm reaching out to over 2,600 addresses of individuals in media, pet rescue and adoptions and city shelters and State lawmakers, human breast cancer groups and certain women who may be interested, please be patient for response. I'll have two prepared responses ready to go; one thanking anyone who is willing to implement a ZERO COST website change consisting of as little as 12 words; and another asking "Why are you willing to let some pets die of mammary cancer, when you could help to prevent it simply by warning the public with a very brief warning at your websites?" In fervor to save healthy pets from euthanasia, most groups are excluding warning about "not spayed means more mammary cancer and uterine infections." Will anyone actually say the public should NOT be warned about these other dangers??? I ask you to read this message and the attachments so you can get some feel for the **AGONY** my dog suffered and the **SHATTERED LONELINESS** I'm

going through now and ask yourselves, “are we willing to do nothing so others will suffer the same avoidable catastrophe?” Is lifesaving knowledge supposed to be an “us four and no more” by invitation only social club thing?

First, two points 1) if you don't read everything in this message, including the attachments, you'll miss seeing info you'll want to know, be it that you correctly favor or immorally disfavor the info and 2) I discovered some groups in the lists I compiled are already on record as having opposed the Texas Capa Act, Companion Animal Protection Act in 2011; I will not take 50 plus hours to review and winnow out these likely useless addresses; change your ways before God says your time is up; afterwards it's too late to do the right thing. The rest of you who are better people and are moral inside and not only with a public mask must be prepared to bulldoze the opposition in your communities and at the State Legislature; this we shall do. These matters are newsworthy; groups opposing public info on spay for mammary cancer prevention are at risk of bad publicity and loss of donations.

I have a benevolent cause for which I need **no money, as in not one red cent!** However, other participation is urgently indicated relating to animal welfare. City shelters and private rescue and pet adoption groups all over Texas stress spay/neuter to avoid overpopulation problems. A decided minority (under ten percent) of these city shelters and private adoption groups mention two

other reasons for spay---mammary cancer and infection prevention. As a consequence, many Texans are disastrously unaware of any reason to spay besides overpopulation. Additionally, many people are on the same page about annual veterinary visits as they are about dental visits---why go unless something is hurting? Some think “this is an only dog and doesn’t run loose; so no reason to spay!” Meantime, hormone driven tumors have a chance to get a head start. My dog died of mammary cancer that eventually spread to her lungs. She died in July 2018 after a long battle (she was given two months tops to live; she survived for 19 months), a pet who was not geriatric! I acquired her off the street in late December 2007; no tags. I sent images of her to my city animal shelter and the city animal shelter in the neighboring city. I posted telephone pole signs to call my number and describe. No one claimed her, so I adopted this dog.

In spring 2008 she proved to be a chain link fence climber. I recovered her at my city animal shelter. No one asked was she spayed. No one mentioned “unspayed means more mammary cancer risk.” At that point we were inducted into the annual rabies shot/dog tax notice system. There was never any warning on these notices I received for years that not spayed means potential life threatening mammary cancer. See the attachment titled “NO WARNING.” Also, they stated a \$5 discount since boosted to \$10 (trivial and ineffective as a prompt to spay) for spayed status. Years passed before I knew anything. I took her to a mobile vaccine clinic

in Hurst in spring 2008, and other years for rabies shot. No question about spayed or not; no mammary cancer warning. She went to others for this shot as time passed. No warnings received! See annual city animal control department form scan attached showing no warning notice about not spayed means more mammary cancer! Also no warning about infections; nor warnings about potential health issues of male dogs not neutered. All this city tells the public with their brochure about not spayed is “they enjoy longer healthier lives,” WHERE are the SPECIFICS? Can’t they tell people straight on that unspayed means “more deadly mammary cancer and uterine infections?” If city shelters would start being specific in their annual mailing of renewal notices, people would then have definite reasons to act, rather than this murky and hazy statement. Any good lawyer deals in definite specifics; city animal shelters and adoption groups should also, when less than two dozen words would accomplish this! City shelters will tell you they want the spay rate to be higher, but they as a group have failed very badly to give people motivational reasons, mainly “DEADLY MAMMARY CANCER,” if they’d be specific this way, the spay rate they say they want to see rise, would rise.

The attachment designated “TEXAS PET WARNING” features a State legislative proposal. It would require all city animal shelters in Texas, when annual rabies shot/dog tax notices are sent out, to warn Texas pet guardians that unspayed can lead to mammary cancer which is frequently fatal. This would be a huge public

service. Rather than contact all the city operated shelters in Texas, a State statute could cover the issue nicely. However, I am messaging many of them. Virtually zero expense would accrue to the cities to merely add a one line educational warning! Additionally, it details added income for city animal shelters and for area veterinarians in that added to the warning is a prompt to have pets examined every six months or at least annually. Cats naturally are included and groups should so state.

I am not opposed to non-legislative approach although it seems an inferior method. Many organizations are already providing complete info on spay (“all three reasons, rather than overpopulation only!”) This is to thank them and ask their assistance in requesting that all pet adoption agencies, city and private, please come up to the same standard of dispensing COMPLETE information to the public! If people know that spay is protective against mammary cancers and uterine infections, they will be as accepting as possible of the idea that a pet should be spayed relatively early. If we can’t get agencies and groups to voluntarily do the right thing as to educating the public about all three reasons to spay, then we’re at the point of needing a State law to mandate that groups and agencies cease leaving the public in the dark! If you knew a highway overpass was unfinished and someone took away the warning sign “Do Not Enter,” would you just go on your way and let people crash after dark and die?

Please don't place responsibility of warning pet owners of mammary cancer and uterine infections only on veterinarians. There's every good reason to warn about these issues when mentioning spay for overpopulation prevention. There's no reason for any backlash coming to me to be asking pet adoption groups to be telling the public what they **ALREADY** should be telling the public without being asked to; most are not doing this, and I mean more than 90% of the sites I've seen mention overpopulation only and no more! I'm deeply troubled that my city animal control department could easily have been warning about mammary cancer due to not spayed, and this absence of warning has gone on for many years. So much dejected misery could be spared if fewer people had the outlook "We don't care because it hasn't personally affected us." If you'd try to get a stray dog off a highway, why wouldn't you be willing to include a warning about mammary cancer and uterine infections at your adoption site? I don't understand any unwillingness to warn the public. Your group has no website? You can warn by word of mouth and you can ask your area city animal shelters to add this warning in their yearly mailings!

Please take steps to protect from mammary cancer all those thousands of dogs Texas obtain through channels not requiring a mandatory spay! "Destroyed for lack of knowledge," an old saying tracing back around 2,800 years! 1) Add this info to your site if it's not present; 2) ask your city animal shelters to include this warning;

3) Ask other rescue groups to come up to FULL STANDARD of info for the public; and 4) consider asking your State legislators to enact “Texas Pet Warning Notice” Law (see attached)! Plus 5) We have an AWFUL organization in Texas that did SEVERE DAMAGE to pets in 2011! Read on! We need to attack them in the State Legislature and choke off their donation income by making others aware of what they did!

Please ask Petsmart, Petco, Petco Foundation, Rescue Me Magazine, Well Pet USA, Banfield Foundation, Blue Dog Bakery, Pedigree Foundation, Merrick Dog Food, Lost Pet USA, Maddie’s Fund and other such businesses to post a warning notice about unspayed and mammary cancer on their premises and on their sites or add emphasis if already there!

I’d like to see everyone involved, city and private, being careful to mention unspayed dogs/cats are at risk of mammary tumors! I don’t grasp why anyone would be against bringing the most people as practical, into the lifesaving information loop! Just a small amount of website update would be very good if hundreds of pet related sites would see to this requiring only very brief site change, following the lead of the world renown American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals in New York City, established in 1866---

<https://www.aspca.org/pet-care/general-pet-care/spayneuter-your-pet> ---



**Here are some of the medical benefits:**

- **Your female pet will live a longer, healthier life.** Spaying helps prevent uterine infections and breast tumors, which are malignant or cancerous in about 50 percent of dogs and 90 percent of cats. Spaying your pet before her first heat offers the best protection from these diseases.
- **Neutering your male companion prevents testicular cancer and some prostate problems.**

**Very unfortunately, many sites only mention “health benefits” of spay, and aren’t giving people the specifics they really need! It can’t put anyone’s arm into a cast to add “spay is preventative of mammary cancer and uterine infections.” If people know specifics they’re more likely to act!**

**Why spay and neuter your pets?**

**Spaying** helps prevent uterine infections and breast tumors, which are malignant or cancerous in about 50 percent of dogs and 90 percent of cats. **Spaying your pet** before her first heat offers the best protection from these diseases. **Neutering your male companion** prevents testicular cancer and some prostate problems.

**Spay/Neuter Your Pet | ASPCA**

<https://www.asPCA.org/pet-care/general-pet-care/spayneuter-your-pet>

The equally prestigious Humane Society of the United States shows this to site goers---

<https://www.humanesociety.org/resources/why-you-should-spayneuter-your-pet> ---

A [USA Today](#) (May 7, 2013) article cites that pets who live in the states with the highest rates of spaying/neutering also live the longest. According to the report, neutered male dogs live 18% longer than un-neutered male dogs and spayed female dogs live 23% longer than unsplayed female dogs. The report goes on to add that in Mississippi, the lowest-ranking state for pet longevity, 44% of the dogs are not neutered or spayed.

Another contributor to the increased longevity of altered pets involves the reduced risk of certain types of cancers. Unspayed female cats and dogs have a far greater chance of developing pyrometra (a fatal uterine infection), uterine cancer, and other cancers of the reproductive system.

Can everyone PLEASE come up to a standard of **COMPLETE** information regarding spay? Too many people are being denied complete information and so can't make fully informed decisions!

Contrast the above documents from admittedly VERY prominent sources to the INCOMPLETE information in this AMVA circular (next) I obtained at a city animal shelter---only the usual spay reason is stated; yet, the public needs to know all three reasons to be fully informed---I am certain those of you who have dogs wouldn't be happy to hear about a neighbor dog dying of mammary cancer invading the lungs only because they didn't know to spay early or at all; please HELP other Texans! This flyer in mentioning "preventive health care" as any attorney knows, is inadequate language re spay reasons; all three spay reasons must be spelled out in unmistakable, specific terms! That's how any of you would draw up a contract; in VERY specific terms!



# Pet Ownership



Owning a pet is a privilege, but the benefits of pet ownership come with responsibilities.

## Be a Responsible Pet Owner:

Avoid impulsive decisions when selecting a pet.

Select a pet that's suited to your home and lifestyle.

Keep only the type and number of pets for which you can provide appropriate food, water, shelter, health care and companionship.

Commit to the relationship for the life of your pet(s).

Recognize that pet ownership requires an investment of time and money.

Make sure your pet receives preventive health care (vaccinations, parasite control, etc.), as well as care for any illnesses or injuries.

Provide appropriate exercise and mental stimulation.

Clean up after your pet.

Don't allow your pet to stray or become feral.

Properly socialize and train your pet.

Limit your pet's reproduction through spay/neuter, containment, or managed breeding.

Obey all local ordinances, including licensing, leash requirements and noise control.

Make sure your pet is properly identified (i.e., tags, microchips, or tattoos) and keep its registration up-to-date.

Prepare for an emergency or disaster, including assembling an evacuation kit.

Make alternate arrangements if you can no longer provide care for your pet.

Recognize any decline in your pet's quality of life and make timely decisions in consultation with a veterinarian.

This message brought to you by the  
American Veterinary Medical Association.



A major spay/neuter organization with offices in Denton, Fort Worth, Hurst, Allen, Weatherford and Burleson appears to not be informing the public that spay is protective against mammary tumors and infections. Every time some pet related group omits mention of prevention of mammary tumors and infections as a spay benefit, people and their pets are at risk of falling through the cracks due to incomplete dissemination of information. Anyone could surf to sites like this one for whatever reason. Unless the information is added, some remain at risk of ignorance, with sometimes deadly consequences to pets; additionally, by openly stating all spay-neuter reasons, more people would become comfortable accepting mandatory spay---

<https://www.texasforthem.org/services/spay-neuter/dog-spayneuter/>

Nothing found here by way of caution about mammary tumors  
Nothing about uterine infections either! It's not about they've done far more good than I have, it's about the public needs to know all spay reasons. If all they know is "overpopulation," many will take no action! Pet owners must not be allowed to remain in the dark.  
**Pets are frequently acquired outside a mandatory spay to adopt framework!**

## Dog Spay/Neuter

Spaying and neutering saves lives. Millions of animals are euthanized each year simply because they do not have a home. Preventing unplanned pregnancies significantly reduces this number.

It's a **crushing disappointment** that I found no mention here of mammary cancer risk at several major Texas companion animal sites---

Spay mentioned but not mammary cancer---

<https://www.operationkindness.org/medical>

<https://www.operationkindness.org/adoptionprocess>

<https://www.spca.org/spayneuterappt>

Because spay to prevent overpopulation is the biggest reason to spay is a reason to not mention the other two reasons? There's too much of this out there. Some groups may think why mention the other two reasons? After all, three problems are addressed even though we mention one issue only! ***There's careless disregard for the fact of many thousands of pets being sourced outside a mandatory spay to adopt framework and the cavalier, irresponsible assumption is present that "everyone knows about" spay to prevent life threatening conditions! I had no idea my dog's ovaries could become booby traps and time bombs!***

Next example is of a group failing to be specific "medical problems" is not specific! With only a few more words, the site viewers can

get the **precise specifics** they need! It **must be spelled out** what medical problems are likely to be avoided by spay/neuter; mammary cancer and pyometra uterine infection and specific male issues! When people see the word “cancer” they are more likely to accept a prompt to get early intervention! Education is not education when specifics are not stated! ---

<https://bestfriends.org/our-work/spay-and-neuter-education> ---

**No specifics about mammary cancer!**

## Spay and neuter: why is it important to “fix” your pet?



Spay/neuter is one of the greatest gifts you can provide your pet, your family and your community. These routine medical procedures not only reduce the number of animals who enter shelters, but they may also prevent medical and behavioral problems from developing in a cat or dog, allowing your pet to lead a longer, healthier and happier life.

**The very large Houston Humane Society has nothing posted (as of the time of my sending this message) about “spay To prevent mammary cancer;” many people see their Website without ever coming to adopt a pet! ---**

<https://www.houstonhumane.org/adopt-a-pet/adoption-process-and-fees>

<https://www.houstonhumane.org/clinic/spay-neuter-services>

- › Spay/Neuter Surgery - all animals are altered BEFORE leaving the HHS. Isoflourane gas, injectible anesthesia, and separate sterile packs, dissolvable sutures, and antibiotic injections are given/used for each animal. During preparation, surgery, and recovery, pets are constantly observed with heart/pulse monitors. Hydro-thermal heating pads are used to keep temperature regulated.

Same absence of warning about mammary tumors and uterine infections here---  
<https://www.houstonhumane.org/clinic/spay-neuter-services>

No mention of preventing mammary tumors/uterine infections here either---  
[http://www.austinhumanesociety.org/about-us/about\\_faqs/](http://www.austinhumanesociety.org/about-us/about_faqs/) ---

## What about spaying and neutering?

We sterilize every single animal before they leave us as well as over 5,000 feral cats each year. We do not spay/neuter owned animals, if you would like to have your pet spayed or neutered, please contact our partners at Emancipet or Animal Trustees of Austin.

Spay mentioned; no mention of mammary tumors/uterine infections---  
<http://www.austinhumanesociety.org/adopt/adopt-process/>

**It's a huge let-down that big rescue groups in very large Texas cities are not mentioning all three reasons to spay! They are leaving many Texans defenseless due to no awareness! They are disregarding that people may view their sites without ever coming in to adopt! This is too serious of a matter to allow Texans to remain uninformed about! People are not psychic! PLEASE start including sorely needed warning about unspayed status and mammary cancer/infections! If we want to reduce avoidable**

**deaths, we must have regard for avoidable deaths by cancer and infection also! Which pet related sites should change their policies? Those which warn about mammary cancer and pyometra, or those which DO NOT?**

**(This next organization below isn't depriving the public of lifesaving info!)**

<https://spayneuternet.org/info-faqs/>

*Should my female have a litter before she is spayed?*

No. Females dogs and should be spayed before the first heat which usually occurs at 5-6 months of age for dogs and 4 months of age for cats. We stress BEFORE, since a female can become pregnant at that age and she is in no way ready for it. Pregnancy will place a great strain on the system, often resulting in birth defects, and she may not be able to nurse, resulting in seriously malnourished offspring. In addition, early spaying prevents later problems, including mammary tumors, uterine infections, and uterine tumors. If a female is allowed to go through a heat before spaying, those problems may still arise later in life because estrogen is stored in the system as a result of the heat.

**This group is also doing the right thing by giving complete info! ---**

<http://www.dfwsnyp.com/>

- In addition to saving lives, spayed and neutered dogs and cats live longer, healthier lives.
- Spaying female dogs and cats eliminates the possibility of uterine or ovarian cancer and greatly reduces the incidence of breast cancer.
- Neutering a male reduces the risk of both prostate enlargement and prostate cancer.

<https://dallascityhall.com/departments/dallas-animal-services/Pages/Spay-and-Neuter.aspx>



## Spay/Neuter

All dogs and cats in the City of Dallas must either be spayed or neutered.

There are a few exemptions to this ordinance:

- Dogs and cats under six (6) months of age
- Dogs and cats unable to be spayed/neutered or permanently non-fertile for health reasons
- Competition cats or competition dogs
- Dogs and cats for adoption at Dallas Animal Services, other animal welfare groups, or for sale in licensed retail pet stores
- Owners holding a valid [Breeding Permit](#)

**Cities can and do enact ordinances that can be as lawful as State statutes. While I'm not suggesting a near universal mandatory spay/neuter public directive, it sure should be mandatory that every city animal control agency should be incorporating a **WARNING NOTICE** in their annual mail outs for rabies shots and dog tax that unspayed dogs are at risk of mammary tumors! Hopefully all private pet adoption groups will realize they may be saving a life they'll never know about, just by merely featuring the same hazard warning at their websites! PLEASE! This takes SO LITTLE effort! Don't let any fellow Texan be uninformed! You aren't being asked to go door to door nor donate money to a billboard campaign! A site opposed to spay-neuter nonetheless concedes low mammary cancer rate in spayed dogs**

**[http://www.thedogplace.org/HEALTH/Cancer-phytochemicals-ellagic-acid\\_Dr.Vargas-0611.asp](http://www.thedogplace.org/HEALTH/Cancer-phytochemicals-ellagic-acid_Dr.Vargas-0611.asp)**

I threw many things at the situation and she still died besides four figure surgeries to “de-bulk” growths. Unfortunately I started investigating dozens of other purported treatments like the above link and got away from what killed the first tumor; artemisinin followed by lactoferrin being that iron is the most vulnerable aspect of cancer. Turning iron into a free radical generating bomb, then starving the neoplastic cells of iron. I expect **not one person** to believe this “anecdotal” report but many studies posted at Pub Med, National Institutes of Health, are investigating these two iron factors, since cancer cells have so many more iron receptors than normal cells; no iron, no cell division! Now maybe some of you want to forget you read this part? But the PhD. researchers won’t walk away from investigating these factors. Having seen the forbidden Pandora’s Box opened, some of you will start reading about these two iron factors. In the above I didn’t say veterinary surgery could be dispensed with. Phytochemicals might slow cancer; antioxidants may protect it.

I am more concerned with prevention here than cure. Prevention is always better than cure. For God’s sakes, don’t let others remain unaware of not spayed means mammary tumor risk, which often means gruesome, horrifying “death by suffocation!”

<https://www.cor.net/departments/animal-services/spay-neuter-information>

City of **Richardson** advises site goes about spay reducing mammary cancer risks! All city animal shelters in Texas should do

this at their sites; the only expense is a few moments time! Can other cities (“municipalities”) please rise to the same excellent standard as Richardson in looking out for companion animal welfare? Private rescue and adoption groups also? I’ve never known anyone who “automatically knows things.”

City of **Rowlett** provides link warning about canine mammary cancer---  
<https://www.ci.rowlett.tx.us/147/Low-Cost-Pet-Care>

City of **Flower Mound** link provides warning about canine mammary cancer---  
<https://www.flower-mound.com/DocumentCenter/View/10>

**Carrollton** group gives complete spay info ---  
[http://www.animalrescueklub.org/alter/why\\_alter.htm](http://www.animalrescueklub.org/alter/why_alter.htm)

Animal Birth Control Clinic **Waco** gives complete spay information---  
<https://www.animalbirthcontrol.org/spay-neuter-information>

Animal Rescue League **Arlington** gives complete info---  
<http://www.artexas.org/spayneuter.html>

**San Antonio** Animal Shelter Is Warning People About Not Spayed Cancer Danger! -  
<https://www.sanantonio.gov/Animal-Care/What-We-Do-Services/Low-Cost-Services/Spay-Neuter-Services>

Can Texas city animal shelters not mentioning prevention of canine mammary cancer and uterine infections as reasons to spay, PLEASE update their websites and annual mail-outs to feature this critically vital info??

**Coppell is among the many Texas cities not incentivizing people  
To spay/neuter due to a \$10 annual discount is little motivation!**

<http://www.coppelltx.gov/government/departments/animal-services>

**A \$10.00 discount for spayed is little incentive! Few city animal shelters state any  
warning about mammary cancer due to not spayed!**

So many times people act only after a disaster has struck them. Now that I've tasted the catastrophe of being uninformed, I feel passion about helping others exit the swamp of ignorance. There are a significant number of lives to be saved. My dog liked other dogs and had a canine friend next door for several years until the neighbor dog died of cancer. But it wasn't mammary cancer, as that dog was spayed early. We can't prevent all canine cancer, but don't we have a duty to prevent and lessen it to the extent possible? Please don't anyone entertain an "us four and no more" or "it's for members of the social club only" attitude about this vital knowledge!

Now as to veterinarians and their income; if several thousand dogs per annum across Texas avoided incidence of tumorigenesis due to early spay removing the aggravating hormones, certainly their income would decline from that source. My dog had two surgeries relating to her situation, both four figure affairs. However, offsetting this dip in veterinary income would be increased income due to more geriatric stage pets for several years needing kidney, liver, cardiac, arthritis, dermatological, cataract, dental infection and cleaning, parasite infection, ear mites, urinary incontinence

and non reproductive system cancers et cetera treatments; so that there should be no income argument against this proposed bill. It might even enhance their income. Besides, with human related medical bills of patients rights to be fully informed as to diseases and treatments, the concept should reasonably be extended to pets. Basic, known risks should be disclosed early stage to owners of new pets if left with hormone production. However, are veterinarians worried about losing income if more female dogs avoid mammary cancer due to early spay? Texas A & M University has the most prestigious veterinary diploma program in the state and here's their avowed testimony on spay to avoid mammary cancer and uterine infections in dogs---



## **Spaying and Neutering Pets May be Best Decision for Pet Health**

<http://vetmed.tamu.edu/news/pet-talk/spaying-and-neutering-pets-may-be-best-decision-for-pet-health>

Spaying or neutering your pet also can cut down on veterinary expenses. Caring for puppies, kittens, females with pyometra or breast cancer, and males that are aggressive or injured as a result of roaming can be expensive compared to the cost of spaying or neutering. In fact, there are health risks associated with pets that are not spayed or neutered. The cost of caring for a pet with reproductive system cancer or pyometra can easily surpass the expense of spaying or neutering your pet.

The Texas A & M veterinary department appears to be THE major scholastic program of its kind in Texas universities. Their remarks cited, do they suggest opposition to the proposed mandatory educational spay awareness bill, especially since it's very simple? "Texas Pet Warning Notice Law" is articulated in a mere 636 words; messages to the public would consist of under 25 words! Why would veterinarians be comfortable with the public being told spay neuter to prevent overpopulation, but be uncomfortable with the public knowing canine sterilization is also to prevent cancers and infections? I don't think they are out to become known as a group opposed to the public being fully informed! This veterinarian is on public record advocating early spay to prevent canine breast cancer--- [http://www.animalrescueclub.org/alter/early\\_alter.htm](http://www.animalrescueclub.org/alter/early_alter.htm) ---

- Healthier pets - Neutered males don't have testicular cancer or the prostate problems common in intact dogs. Females spayed before their first heat cycle have 96.4% less breast cancer, a common cause of death. They have no risk of uterine infection, or the many complications associated with pregnancy, whelping, or raising a litter.

It should not be “a common cause of death” that some people are unaware of breast cancer due to not spayed! Please do what you can to educate all website visitors and walk-ins!

As a human interest aside, my mother’s maiden name is Evelyn Louise Wisenbaker. Her older brother, Royce Wisenbaker Sr. (1917-2001) an oil and gas operator and municipal water systems developer was an A & M Regent appointed by the Governor’s office, 1979-1997 and has an engineering research building named for him on the A & M campus. The link doesn’t prove relationship but it’s there and is sheer coincidence only. Royce was quite wealthy; he had an assortment of charities he donated funds to. I feel that if Royce, who once expressed wish to bring a dog I had back to Tyler with him, were still living and active, he’d put in a word for this bill with his State Senator and Representative --- remember, this is a “no funds required” humanitarian venture! It should have no political party aspect nor true financial downside for State licensed veterinarians, who the pets of Texas cannot do well without!

[https://www.myaggienation.com/am\\_news/today\\_in\\_aggie\\_history/today-in-aggie-history-royce-e-wisenbaker-was-born](https://www.myaggienation.com/am_news/today_in_aggie_history/today-in-aggie-history-royce-e-wisenbaker-was-born)

Breast cancer is a large issue with women, and many women have treasured pets, and would be interested in getting protection for pets owned by those who for one reason or other, are out of the

information loop as I was! Please forward this to any breast cancer chapters you may be aware of as pets are also family members. Please forward to your State legislators.

It's very possible for someone to know things a specialist isn't yet aware of, yet to also be uninformed as to something much more widely known. For example, neither my dentist nor the veterinarian who operated on Capa in 2016 and again in 2018 were aware of the 63 day regeneration of a natural human tooth "in vivo" achieved over six years ago at Columbia University Dental Lab until I mentioned this to them. Coincidentally, 63 days is approximate canine gestation time.

If you can feel a modicum of concern about human breast cancer victims, please extend a particle of compassion to those pets owned by so many Texans who only know "spay for overpopulation." Many retired and elderly people depend on their pets for companionship. I see the figure of one in four female dogs not spayed eventually developing mammary tumors. Please, won't you spend a few moments to help prevent deaths by suffocation and heartbroken owners? Have you seen a dog's pink tongue turn blue from oxygen deprivation? I have. Would you please take a few moments of time to prevent this happening to others? The good we could do but don't do is the problem.



Had I known in spring 2008 what was coming years later, I'd have promptly traded her ovaries for saving her lungs without any question. In case the question comes to your thinking, why not talk to Texas Humane Legislation Network? That's exactly where I went first. I was reeled in by their name as many donators very likely have been. They are not interested in this matter and after initial burst of enthusiasm from their leader expressing excited interest, all 23 officials completely decline any response. They never offered any feedback as to why they'd not touch my proposal. Received on 8/7/18 in her capacity as official of a **PUBLIC** 501-C4 group--- this person like a wood borer has insinuated herself into management of <http://www.animallawsection.org/officers> we will have to overcome such opposition with greater numbers; spread word of what they've done (read on) to squelch their donations!

She was wearing her humanitarian mask in this message---

From: Shelby Bobosky [shelby@texashumanenetwork.org]  
To: Charles Savoie  
Cc:  
Subject: Re: Possible Bill

Charles:

Please disregard my previous email. This is an excellent summary of the bill you have proposed.

Please give me a week to review it and get back with you.

Thank you!

Shelby

On Sat, Aug 4, 2018 at 10:19 AM, Charles Savoie <[csavoie@tx.r.com](mailto:csavoie@tx.r.com)> wrote:

**Shelby; here it is.**

**Charles Savoie**

**For Capa My Deceased Pet**

No follow up reply ever received, nor from any of 22+ other associates of hers in THLN! To see the original proposal, see at website. I've streamlined it since then; but the centerpiece concept was there; they refused all comment even after I sent a second round of requests! I sent final request with "Texas Pet Warning Notice Law" attached (see attachment) to THLN in late January 2019; again no response from any of the 23 "officials." Seeking progress by talking to THLN was just an exercise in futility! They didn't want to trap themselves by telling me "we don't care if

dogs die of mammary cancer due to not being spayed;” however, their refusal to take any action in this regard totally damns them in my outlook; nor am I alone; read on. If you really care about pets, you should be outraged at Texas Humane Legislation Network!

Tawdry THLN officials like a personality popularity profile parade acted in goosestep and lockstep unison like a ridiculous phalanx and stuck together completely like soulless personnel on an automated BORG spaceship!

Naturally at the start I was disarmed by their name. I found they are opposite character of what their name suggests. It’s like a door marked “EXIT” and you take a 15 foot drop into a thorn bush hosting a large hornet nest then the ambulance driver says “leave me alone, can’t you see I’m busy” as he works a crossword.

This 2018 event at Conroe Texas near Houston had two Texas Humane Legislation Network reps as speakers ---<https://unitedpetrescuers.org/speakers> The same 2018 event had Brian Chase of Michelson Found Animals Foundation; which at THEIR site, DOES give COMPLETE spay info ---<https://www.foundanimals.org/spay-neuter-faqs/> Next I notice THIS--- which is a MUST read ---<http://www.nathanwinograd.com/tx-humane-legislation-group-fights-humane-legislation/>

**This attorney and former prosecutor says of Texas Humane Legislation Network---**

***“Because the Texas legislature meets every other year, animals would continue to be needless gassed to death for at least two more years if THLN gets its way.”***

**That was about the Texas CAPA Act (see below) which failed in 2011 due to THLN opposing it. How many thousands of dogs and cats were gassed to death in the following two years plus? Thanks to Texas Humane Legislation Network! And maybe the Mafia should call itself The Sunday Cupcake League! A THLN source said “commercially compressed carbon monoxide gas” was an improvement (New York Times, May 30, 2013). Gas can take up to [30](#) minutes to kill and some animals got gassed TWICE. As of March 2013 [info](#), 30 Texas cities were still gassing pets. It wouldn't have occurred to THLN with its **BOGUS** love for pets to have donated its legislative lobbying budget for several years towards helping 30 gassing city animal control departments to transition to the usual veterinary clinic euthanasia needle that works so much faster and causes far less stress!**

## TX Humane Legislation Group Opposes Humane Legislation

*“ What’s in a name? The Texas Humane Legislation Network reveals not much, as an organization supposedly dedicated to “humane legislation” comes out in opposition to one of the most significant animal protection bills ever introduced in the state. ”*

As No Kill advocates rejoice and thousands upon thousands of animal lovers throughout Texas flood their legislators with calls of support for the [Texas Companion Animal Protection Act](#) which would ban the gas chamber, end convenience killing, mandate collaboration with rescue organizations, and much more, the THLN just released [their statement of opposition because they were not consulted on the bill](#).

Calling for shelters to continue gassing animals, to continue killing in the face of a rescue alternative, and more, THLN’s position reveals sour grapes, stunning hubris, and a serious case of misplaced priorities.

**As of May [2013](#) Governor Rick Perry signed legislation unanimously passed by the Texas State Senate banning the use of gas for euthanizing homeless pets. However, with the “help” of Texas Humane Legislation Network, that HUMANE measure was OBSTRUCTED/DELAYED for TWO AND ONE HALF YEARS! The 2013 law only went into force on January 1, 2014! In summer 2018 I requested THLN assist with a canine mammary cancer prevention effort. All 23 THLN officials refused response. When I first found THLN it was as if a beautiful chocolate cake had been handed to me. Then I discovered the “treat” was full of glass shards and fluoroacetate, spiritually speaking!**

## **THLN = TOXIC!**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kp9L10A-FNg>

**(LISTEN TO THE HEART RENDING SCREAMS OF DOGS!)**

**THANKS SO MUCH TEXAS HUMANE LEGISLATION NETWORK!**

**THE MARQUIS DE SADE SALUTES YOU!**

“They gasp for breath, claw at the floor, throw their bodies against the walls of the chamber, moan, cry and scream,” he said. “It could take up to 30 minutes for an animal to succumb.”

**THLN complained about “stakeholders,” excluding suffering PETS from their list of “stakeholders!” Does Cordell Walker know about the Texas Humane Legislation Network? If Sam Houston was with us he’d run THLN out of the state! What THLN did in 2011 to block relief for Texas pets being gassed is so appalling that everyone should disregard them completely and SQUELCH their influence in Texas pet affairs! If you help ten little old ladies to cross a street, but you lifted a wallet from one of them, should you be able to persuade a court to not penalize you? I submit that causing who knows how many thousands of suffering pets to continue being gassed is boundless justification for public jeering of this group that acts like a legislative CARTEL!**

**Please forward this message and attachments to every one of your members and supporters asking them to contact their State lawmakers and city animal shelters to warn about unspayed could mean deadly mammary cancer and the pretenses of THLN!**

**I've saved the PDF prepared by THLN (see attached) and also made screenshots of it to document it was OK with THLN for who knows how many thousands of companion animals to be euthanized by gassing for an additional two years, **SIMPLY BECAUSE "THEY WERE NOT CONSULTED"** before the bill was introduced! Appallingly, they want to act like a state legislative cartel; please don't contribute money to this puffed-up group of little Caesars! Don't swindle Texas pets by tossing money to them! They endorsed 31 members of the Texas legislature who won election in 2018 <https://www.thln.org/endorsed-candidates> please check the link and if your Representative or State Senator is on their list, please contact your lawmakers and warn them to **DISREGARD** any THLN opposition to the "Texas Pet Warning Notice Law" and vote **FOR** it regarding city shelters and 501c(3) groups being required to warn people that unspayed may mean mammary cancer!**

THLN held a party for one of their puffed-up, tinsel laureated personalities on Sunday, September 24, 2017 at 7 For Parties in Dallas, which as of February 2019 posts a rental rate of \$3,900 for Sunday use of their facility. There are forty three (43) building facilities in the Dallas Parks & Recreation system and a "large room with a kitchen" can be had for \$360 total after hours on a Sunday or a "combination of rooms" for \$480 (four hours duration). THLN could have set aside \$3,420 to \$3,540 for funding no kill rescue groups in Dallas and still had a celebratory meeting; no! Instead,

they carry on like blue blooded aristocrats at the Duke of Westminster's gala!

This item <http://dallas.culturemap.com/news/society/09-27-17-dallas-animal> dated September 27, 2017 stated---

Trimble also worked to prohibit the use of carbon monoxide gas to euthanize dogs and cats in Texas shelters.

That claim appears misleading, as we know THLN acted against gassing ban during 2011 Texas Legislature session! So what do they do, they have someone at "Dallas Culture Map" write the above without telling the entire account? That Trimble and THLN acted to block a state gassing ban in 2011 because they "weren't consulted" but in 2013 they said "now that we're in the loop, it has our OK?" And then from that some dumb giddy scatterbrained HACK writer projecting a bogus aura can puff them up with a glowing, turgid encomium like a charioteer's olive wreath?? Very Machiavellian of THLN to twist accounts and blow a trumpet for themselves regarding an urgent reform they supported in 2013, which they earlier acted to STALL for TWO YEARS! You don't notice THLN informing potential \$\$\$ donors of their sinister maneuvering in 2011! Journalists should refrain from being ACCOMPLICES to INTENTIONAL DISTORTIONS crafted to tug on heart strings of the well intending uninformed in order to shake them down for MONEY!



Those of you who are in media, please don't trash "accuracy in journalism" as a guiding principle; don't maintain ranks with compromisers! In late January 2019 I contacted Dallas Culture Map with info contradicting their claim about THLN; they refused to post correction! The Houston Press (read on) wasn't cowering nor showing unjustified favor when it published a story faulting a large associate of Texas Humane Legislation Network!

### Journalists have duty to promptly correct their mistakes

[www.statesmanjournal.com/story/opinion/columnists/dick.../journalists.../72167600/](http://www.statesmanjournal.com/story/opinion/columnists/dick.../journalists.../72167600/) ▼

Sep 13, 2015 - The Statesman Journal took the unusual step last week of writing a news article a correction that appeared in The Oregonian newspaper.

### The Code of Journalistic Ethics | ethicnet

[ethicnet.uta.fi/poland/the\\_code\\_of\\_journalistic\\_ethics](http://ethicnet.uta.fi/poland/the_code_of_journalistic_ethics) ▼

The task of a journalist is to transmit reliable and neutral information, diverse ... Mistakes and lapses need be corrected as soon as possible, even if they were ...

Accuracy in Media says <https://www.aim.org/about> ---

### What is the goal of AIM?

We encourage members of the media to report the news fairly and objectively—without resorting to bias or partisanship.

### Why is it necessary for the media to have a watchdog?

Quite frankly, the news media don't always get their stories right. What's worse, many of them don't even seem to care. By advising them of their responsibility to the public, whom they claim to serve, AIM helps to nudge the members of the news media into greater accountability for their actions.

You'd think lots of journalists would be zealous to report scandals! Here's a JUICY scandal on THLN!

Officials of Dallas Culture Map were notified of their error and refused to post any correction. Giving good press to scoundrels is a despicable act. <https://lakewood.advocatemag.com/2015/09/24/qa-animal-activist-shelby-bobosky/> This media also painted a misleading image of Bobosky and THLN. I asked them to post correction as of 2-6-19. They have refused response. Their misleading story (screenshots RETAINED) had this---

***"I still have nightmares after watching videos on gas chamber [animal] euthanasia,"*** Lakewood neighbor Shelby Bobosky says. *But unlike most, who see something unbearable and look away, she decided to do something about it. In 2012, Bobosky quit her job as an attorney to work pro bono as the legislative chair for the Texas Humane Legislation Network (THLN), a nonprofit organization that promotes the humane treatment of animals through legislation, education and advocacy. Once she learned that up to 50 Texas*

shelters still euthanized around 20,000 cats and dogs each year in gas chambers, **she spearheaded a bill to end the barbaric practice in 2013**, requiring shelters to use more humane lethal injection techniques. So what keeps her going? Read our Q&A to find out. “I got involved in 2011.” What really makes your blood boil?”

That’s enough. Where are her nightmares about the thousands of pets she and THLN fought to continue subjecting to death by gassing for 30 months following their assisted defeat of the anti-gassing measure in 2011? She doesn’t mention this, and neither have any media accounts so far that I’ve seen. She “spearheaded” the move to end gassing she CROWS! Like any talented demagogue she omits her and THLN’s full record on gassing! Friends, does it “make your blood boil” about THLN misrepresenting its lobbying actions? About media dispensing a false aura for them to help them extract donation income from trusting souls? As with Dallas Culture Map, I contacted (Dallas) Lakewood Advocate Magazine asking for a correction to be posted. There was no cooperation; it’s fair to pose the question “Is THLN PAYING media to be silent as to their 2011 lobbying to continue gassing Texas pets?” I notice this Culture Map organization has a category designated as “[Promoted](#) Content;” is that the case with the Dallas CM story on THLN?

In such cases we must organize and contact advertisers and notify them with specifics and inform them the media sources are

refusing to post corrections. It's an outrage that THLN has claimed credit for ending gassing as of 2013 when they OPPOSED it in 2011, and it's a journalistic betrayal that certain media insist on covering for this two faced group by not mentioning they opposed gassing in 2011! What happened to media acting as watchdog in the public interest?

**THLN and its fellow travelers constitute a SICKENING, BOTULIZED CAN, BULGING AT BOTH ENDS!**

At [www.newspapers.com](http://www.newspapers.com) ---  
(Tally---1,074) ---

**You're one step closer to seeing  
all 1 thousand+ matches in 64  
newspapers in Texas for Texas  
Humane Legislation Network**

We need to organize a committee to review all these news stories to 1) see what else turns up about them the public should know about that will discredit them as a baneful organization having a **SINFUL** record to withhold donations to and 2) barrage the media who've depicted them as having a saintly aura to post corrections.

If media refuse to post corrections we must complain to their advertisers and post remarks online at You Tube. These findings must be posted on a website for public review. Additionally a PAC, political action committee to oppose THLN may be necessary.

PLEASE CONTACT JOHN STOSSEL ASKING HIM FOR A TELEVISED OR YOU TUBE PROBE OF TEXAS HUMANE LEGISLATION NETWORK

<https://www.facebook.com/JohnStossel/> and

<http://reason.com/people/john-stossel/all>

**What a laugh, THLN boasts about being an “accredited charity” with the Better Business Bureau, which evidently needs more insightful feedback about THLN! On February 9, 2019, I messaged the Central Texas BBB with links proving THLN isn’t mentioning in media accounts what they did in 2011 to keep gassing going; instead, they rave about how they were against gassing in 2013 and point to the bill’s passage as proof they are benevolent! Therefore, they FAILED the BBB’s criteria #15 about honesty in fund raising!**

<https://www.bbb.org/central-texas/charity-reviews/charity-local/texas-humane-legislation-network-in-austin-tx-1000114012/#sealclick> ---

Home > Texas Humane Legislation Network

CHARITY REVIEW

Issued: December 2018

Expires: December 2020

## Texas Humane Legislation Network



**Standards Not Met**

11

(888) 548-6263  
PO Box 685283, Austin TX 78768-5283  
[www.thln.org](http://www.thln.org)

### STANDARDS LEGEND

- Meets Standards
- Standards Not Met
- Did Not Disclose
- Review in Progress
- Unable to Verify

Full Report Share Print BBB Charity Standards

## Standards for Charity Accountability

### GOVERNANCE

- 1. Board Oversight
- 2. Board Size
- 3. Board Meetings
- 4. Board Compensation
- 5. Conflict of Interest

### MEASURING EFFECTIVENESS

- 6. Effectiveness Policy
- 7. Effectiveness Report

### FINANCES

- 8. Program Expenses
- 9. Fund Raising Expenses
- 10. Accumulating Funds
- 11. Audit Report
- 12. Detailed Expense Breakdown
- 13. Accurate Expense Reporting
- 14. Budget Plan

### FUND RAISING & INFO

- 15. Truthful Materials
- 16. Annual Report
- 17. Website Disclosures
- 18. Donor Privacy
- 19. Cause Marketing Disclosures
- 20. Complaints

Conclusions

Purpose

Governance & Staff

Tax Status

Financial

Texas Humane Legislation Network does not meet the following 1 [Standards for Charity Accountability](#).

**Standard 11: Audit Report - Make available to all, on request, complete annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. When total annual gross income exceeds \$500,000, these statements should be audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. For charities whose annual gross income is less than \$500,000, a review by a certified public accountant is sufficient to meet this standard. For charities whose annual gross income is less than \$250,000, an internally produced, complete financial statement is sufficient to meet this standard.**

Texas Humane Legislation Network does not meet this standard because:

In previous reviews, this organization's annual gross income was less than \$250,000, and an internally produced, complete financial statement was sufficient to meet this standard. Due to an unanticipated increase in funding from a supporter's will and bequest, this organization's income now exceeds \$500,000. When total annual gross income exceeds \$500,000, BBB standards require statements audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. At this time the organization does not have audited financial statements. However, the organization is currently researching and taking steps to establish meet this standard in the future. Anyone with more questions is encouraged to reach out to this organization's Executive Director, Laura Donahue Halloran, at [laura@texashumanenetwork.org](mailto:laura@texashumanenetwork.org)

If I'd used THLN's tactics of not telling the whole story, I'd have omitted the last three lines above. If they meet the BBB's Standard #11, it won't help their BBB ratings, as I've sent the BBB links showing THLN omits info the public should have regarding what they did in 2011, to make informed decision as to donating money to them! It's misleading that they claim they "spearheaded" a drive in 2013 to end gassing, when two years before they battled to continue gassing! And in media accounts THLN isn't mentioning what they did in 2011! I also sent the Internal Revenue Service in Dallas relevant print outs to substantiate an IRS form 13909 I mailed to IRS on 2/11/2019, in my duty of conscience as a whistleblower to see if this will topple their 501 C-4 status. That's a "Tax Exempt Organization Complaint" specific violation, **"Organization involved in deceptive or improper fund raising."**



See previous scan on Fund Raising & Info #15, "Truthful Materials." They are misleading potential donors by not mentioning what they did in 2011! The phrase "false pretenses" seems to apply here; let unbiased observers make that call.

Most reasonable people would deem it deceptive that THLN lavishly laureates itself in media accounts that it opposed gassing in 2013, and declining to mention to the reading public they fought to continue gassing in 2011! Its exasperating to think some media refuse to post correction. Another such example--- THLN claims credit for banning of gassing in 2013, 100% silent that they fought to continue gassing in 2011---

<http://thekatynews.com/2017/11/09/texas-humane-legislation-network-launches-statewide-pac/>

**AND---**

<https://www.asPCA.org/about-us/press-releases/asPCA-thln-welcome-texas-law-banning-animal-gas-chambers>



There's the sense that had kill shelters been making pets ride a razor blade into a pool of acid, THLN would have acted to ban it in the event they "weren't consulted" beforehand. If they are such noble do-gooders, why did it take other people in 2011 to propose ending the use of gas? Then THLN elbowed its way into the legislative process to DEFEAT the MERCIFUL legislation! How many pets suffered nightmare ordeal due to the "benevolent contribution" of Texas Humane Legislation Network? Continue reading!

**"Kirk Watson says 29 Texas [cities](#) use carbon monoxide to euthanize dogs and cats"  
---April 3, 2013**

<https://www.politifact.com/texas/statements/2013/apr/03/kirk-watson/kirk-watson-says-29-texas-cities-use-carbon-monoxi/>

This continued for about two and a half years after the defeat in 2011 of a ban on gassing due to THLN refused to back it, just because they weren't consulted first! After all, how could THLN BE a State legislative cartel unless it ACTS like a State legislative cartel? So after THLN by backstabbing ambush shot the reform down, it was more than two and a half YEARS until January 1, 2014, when the statewide gassing ban went into effect! According to info at the link and checking 2012 population tallies on Google, those 29 cities had an aggregate population of 263,668 residents so; using a factor of 2.5 years x that figure, yields a figure of a city of 650,000 gassing pets for an entire twelve month calendar YEAR! Does Texas "Humane" Legislation Network or any of its corrupt cronies care to

get the exact tally of pets gassed by those 29 cities (Odessa at 105,933 was the largest) during the two and a half years subsequent to the 2011 defeat of the anti-gassing measure, which THLN admits to having fought against? See PDF attached!

Cuero, Texas, which represents 3% of the population of these cities, admitted to euthanizing [513](#) pets by carbon monoxide gas during 2011. Extrapolating the tally of 513 gassed pets to the total of the 29 cities, we may reasonably conjecture that due to Texas Humane Legislation Network hindrance of the 2011 anti-gassing measure, that some 42,500 Texas pets died in agonizing torment between the defeat of the 2011 bill and January 1, 2014, when Texas pets could finally get a more merciful euthanasia. All that suffering thanks to Texas “Humane” Legislation Network and the immoral entities in league with THLN! Also complaining about THLN is <http://pawsitivelytexas.com/important-legislation-for-texas-pets/> There’s more to the 2011 anti-gassing bill THLN opposed; they also opposed other lifesaving measures! See the specifics at <http://pawsitivelytexas.com/breaking-news-new-animal-rights-laws-proposed-in-texas/>

THLN likes “happy hours?” Are police watching to see if someone exits staggering? ---

[https://www.thln.org/dallas\\_happy\\_hour](https://www.thln.org/dallas_happy_hour)

My impression of THLN is they’re only pretended do-gooders more interested in wine, cheese and cocktail social events for arrogant

blueblood wanna be uptown dress and tuxedo social types. Click [here](#) and scroll down to event #4. If Texas Humane Legislation Network “was not consulted on a bill,” they will oppose it? I DID consult with them! Their refusal to work with my proposal, and their refusal to respond, had nothing to do with concern for veterinary income; it was very shockingly that, only THLN can propose bills! The rest of you must now disregard Texas Humane Legislation Network entirely and act to prevent other pets like mine SUFFOCATING to DEATH! Since all 23 of them refused response, accordingly I placed all 23 on my Blocked Senders list. They didn’t want to message me several months ago; they can’t want to message me now. I suggest donators contribute to causes that have depth rather than a veneer of charity! I can’t believe anyone receiving this message would side with THLN against any public awareness campaign/State bill aimed at reducing canine (and feline) mammary cancer! If Texas Humane Legislation Network opposes any public awareness legislation re canine mammary cancer and spay, I fully expect such opposition to be reported by several dozen media outlets. I ask everyone who can see that THLN’s refusal to assist in reducing canine mammary cancer fatalities is an awful stance on their part, which added to this other issue they have, to see these are ample reasons to break ranks with them and ostracize this group from your affairs. They don’t merit anyone’s financial donations! Saving pets lives is more important than any **Personality Popularity Persona Profile Parade of**

**Pompous Pretentious Patricians!** More info damning Texas Humane Legislation Network---

<http://www.nathanwinograd.com/tx-humane-legislation-group-fights-humane-legislation/>

When a THLN chapter president asked a member of the Dallas Animal Control Advisory Commission which is supposed to provide oversight of the shelter how long Commissioners knew the cat was stuck in the wall before the cat died to learn why they did nothing, she was fired from THLN for simply asking the question. In other words, while they shield those who sat idly by while a cat starved to death, they fight those who want to save cats.

Other high profile groups are justifiably outraged about Texas Humane (?) Legislation Network---

<http://www.nokillhouston.org/legislation/texas-capa/>

No Kill Houston shows Texas Humane (??)  
Legislation Network Opposes The  
Companion Animal Protection Act  
Which Would Ban Gassing  
Pets In Shelters---

Texas Humane Legislative Network (THLN), (a lobbying organization whose board members include a director of a high kill shelter);

<https://ananimaladvocate.com/tag/no-kill-houston/> ---

The last time that the Houston "Humane" Society was somewhat transparent with their intake and outcome numbers, they reported killing more than 15,300 pets in one year. If the Houston Humane Society has been killing the same number of pets every year since that time, that means more than 237,000 pets have been killed by the Houston "Humane" Society, under Sherry Ferguson's direction.

*And if the Houston Humane Society has been killing the same number of pets since Sherry Ferguson was hired in 1984, they have killed more than 489,600 pets under her direction.*

Let that sink in ..... 489,600+ pets KILLED by the Houston "Humane" Society under Sherry Ferguson's direction.

Of course, that number could be much higher now.

For a *humane* organization to give an award to the person who has been responsible for that kind of mass killing of animals is truly mind boggling to me. Even though THLN fought against the Companion Animal Protection Act (a state law that would have saved thousands, if not millions, of Texas shelter pets every year), this macabre "award" still stuns me.

After discovering what Shelby Bobosky and her "humane" group are actually like, I do feel I had a brush with a philosophical version of a canine sphere Elizabeth Bathory. The address of THLN's State **COMPOUND** is 11801 Domain Boulevard in Austin. It is a **COMPOUND** not an OFFICE! That's what pets gassed to death in 2011 through 2013 courtesy of THLN's Stalinistic obstructionism would deem it! Then they get buttered up by journalists who are either corrupt or incompetent due to taking only their word as to

their record! Next we see a March 7, 2017 news item by a journalist not showing fear nor favor-----what after all is anti-journalistic about sticking with the actual facts? ---

<https://www.houstonpress.com/news/as-houston-humane-society-troubles-mount-board-stays-silent-9255261>

Former animal cruelty investigator Joe Guerra told the *Press* via Facebook that "it has become common routine for the clinic to close on weekends when a fill-in vet cannot come work for them. They also close the clinic during the week. They are open about 50-60% of the month since this has been going on... They have been lying to the public and telling them that they are closed because high volume of surgeries, but that is not true. They post a sign on their front door every time they close saying that. But it's another one of Sherry and Edwards lies to the public [sic]. And the board still sits in their asses and allows it."

Ferguson, whose salary is \$151,651, according to the organization's latest tax filings, is rarely onsite, sometimes disappearing for months at a time, the former employees and volunteers allege. Ferguson, like other upper level staff, has failed to return calls and emails, although we did manage to briefly speak with Ferguson last week.

"I have nothing to say to you," she said, before hanging up.

**This is the same Sherry Ferguson given an award by Texas Humane Legislation Network!**

Under the watch of Ferguson and the board, former employees and volunteers say Perez routinely harassed and mocked volunteers who were gay, intellectually challenged or disabled.

although she apparently feels otherwise, we believe Ferguson owes the public an explanation. If anything, it appears that whatever animals the Houston Humane Society has saved over the years has been *in spite of* Ferguson and a parade of apathetic directors.

Additional item ---

<https://www.houstonpress.com/news/more-troubling-accusations-against-houston-humane-society-director-9219818>

<http://www.nathanwinograd.com/coward-thy-name-is-thln/>

### Coward, Thy Name is THLN – Nathan J Winograd

[www.nathanwinograd.com/coward-thy-name-is-thln/](http://www.nathanwinograd.com/coward-thy-name-is-thln/) ▼

THLN, in partnership with the Humane Society of the United States, the Texas Animal Control Association, and regressive kill shelters statewide opposed the bill ...

Tonight, I was supposed to debate [Monica Hardy](#), Executive Director of the [Texas Humane Legislation Network](#) on 90.1 FM out of Houston (and nationally, online) about my support and her group's opposition for [Texas legislation that would have abolished the gas chamber, ended convenience and retribution killing, mandated transparency, and ended breed bias in shelters.](#)

**(The SLITHERING and TAIL RATTLING THLN official backed out of the debate!)**

**Texas Humane Legislation Network! You get the [TREBLINKA](#) award!**

**“Innocence finds not nearly so much protection as guilt” – Francois Rochefoucauld (1613-1680), French nobleman.**

**“Cruelty is the first attribute of the devil” – Ludovico Ariosto (1474-1533) friend of Leonardo Da Vinci.**

On January 24, 2018 I messaged members of Dallas Young Lawyers Association (“animal welfare committee”) asking which is better to donate to, THLN or No Kill Houston, reminding them that “no answer---is an answer,” no response from any of these 32 characters! Then I noticed THIS---

### Board of Directors - Texas Humane Legislation Network

<https://www.thln.org/directors> ▼

Position: President Email: [shelby@texashumanenetwork.org](mailto:shelby@texashumanenetwork.org) Donate to THLN on ... involved in the Dallas Association of Young Lawyers, co-chairing the Animal ...

On February 1, 2019, I contacted THLN officials (led by Shelby Bobosky) again with the streamlined version called “Texas Pet Warning Notice Law.” No response from any of these 35 human icicles as of March 1, 2019. Since they’re all so “tight” with each other it’s lamentable they can’t become Siamese twins.

On February 2, 2019, I gave opportunity to seven THLN regional chapter leaders to respond to inquiry re Winograd’s complaints about THLN. No response received as of March 2, 2019. I ended



my inquiry to them with---“I suggest you watch the final 10 minutes of Glenn Ford’s movie “Brotherhood of the Bell”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RlyndyIX5EQ&t=2642s>

**MORE ON NOT SPAYED  
AND MAMMARY CANCER!  
THLN REFUSED RESPONSE!**

*Please don't let dogs die horribly of suffocation in end stage mammary cancer because some well known egotistical group of “ha ha” types who marinate themselves in narcissism declined to do anything! I am asking for the dogs of Texas; it's not a “me” thing! I want to get some peace in my thoughts to know that other dogs received protection due to owners becoming aware of mammary cancer hazard to lifespan! This isn't “my cause,” it should be “the cause” of everyone who has feelings for dogs!*

<https://www.bustle.com/p/what-does-it-feel-like-to-die-heres-what-you-can-expect-based-on-research-accounts-2992369>

-----

I can think of fewer ways to die that are more frightening to me than suffocating, largely because of the pain factor that's likely involved.

I speculated THLN is hesitant to touch anything that might reduce veterinary income; I could think of no other possible reason; however as articulated, less income from mammary cancer surgeries means increased income from geriatric canine patients! It hadn't occurred to me they nixed my proposal due to it wasn't originated by any of THEIR members! And the top veterinary scholastic institution in the state, Texas A & M, expresses no opposition! Financial should not be a hindering issue! Furthermore, there is no legislative cartel, syndicate, presidium nor political combine through which all companion animal legislation must be first cleared or filtered and receive a cartel imprimatur from a puffed-up group or some sort of "Social Register OK" before it can be submitted to State lawmakers for review and consideration. Anyone---anyone at all, can submit legislative suggestions to their State representatives and State senators! PLEASE act on this! Does THLN care to express actual opposition to a public awareness measure for decreasing cancer incidence in dogs? It isn't what they've done; it's what they've declined to do.

**This THLN wolf had to put on a sheepskin (some good deeds, and good deeds done after unreasonable delay) to succeed as a false front to block major good from transpiring!**

**If you read results on search for THLN, be advised some results contain images of actual THLN officials. I mention this to ask, do you have welding goggles? One of them gives off vibes like Radu [Molasar!](#)**

***“Sooner or later the ungodly get what they deserve”---Roger Moore as Simon Templar in “The Saint” [season 1 episode 3](#).***

So then here is the banner for others to take up. The sole requirement is a modest amount of time contacting lawmakers, city shelters and pet rescue groups and a small website change to clearly state warning about not spayed = more mammary cancers and uterine infections and incorporate this warning notice into annual city shelter mail outs! No financial support for the DEVIOUS Texas Humane Legislation Network! Tell your State legislators to disregard them when they block pet beneficial bills! If THLN’s integrity were placed in a flea’s stomach, it would rattle around like a BB in an oil transport tanker.

Dentists and their hygienists try very assiduously to educate patients as to how to best care for their teeth. Yet, they haven’t put themselves out of business by informing patients how to avoid some of their services! It’s not any strain that the public should know about these simple things! Early spay isn’t only for overpopulation, but is protective of mammary cancer and infections. Please, shouldn’t everyone be aware of all three spay reasons, rather than overpopulation by itself, and stop there? Remember many pets are acquired outside a mandatory spay/sterilize/alter to adopt framework! Everyone needs critical information that could save lives!

Now friends, this is not any matter of whose falsely perceived popularity, name recognition or status looms larger, but rather, “what is the right thing to do?” Would you be willing to help others, pet guardians lacking complete info on spay, to have a vital Key of Knowledge, for which none of your money is needed but a few moments time in updating your websites and contacting your legislators? Those groups who are already providing complete spay info, please consider contacting city shelters and rescue groups not providing COMPLETE reasons to spay! Retired persons with time on their hands are ideal volunteers; you can initiate action! PLEASE! Don't let other dogs die the way my pet died! Rescue groups providing complete info on spay should ask their peer groups who are not doing so which is the huge majority of them, to come up to full standard of public responsibility! Assume “everyone knows this,” you are condemning many dogs to unnecessarily die!

The day before Capa died, as I was facing her with me crouched down, she started licking my left palm and continued till she'd licked it at least 40 to 50 times. I never saw this behavior from her in ten and one half years. She never licked me more than once. She was telling me farewell. I implore you to help other dogs avoid death by slow suffocation due to mammary cancer invading their lungs. Of course, I did rush her to the veterinary clinic the next afternoon, and the oxygen intubation revived her so that her left eye popped open as I told her goodbye before the death needle hit.

An X ray on June 6 showed clear lungs! By July 5 much invasion was seen! She died on July 18, 2018, at 4:59 PM. The literal centerpiece of my life gone. Before the lung symptoms, she exhibited zero geriatric symptoms. Heart, liver, kidneys, eyes, auditory, nervous system, joints, digestion, bladder, skin all in splendid condition and no incontinence. All that splendid condition! Yet, if the tissues cannot get oxygen, life cannot continue. This was a waste of several more years an exceptionally smart dog would have had.

The fact that her name was Capa as of January 2008 may not be entirely coincidental with the name of a great proposed Texas legislative act, the Capa Act.

PLEASE help! I ask you review the attachments and forward to your State legislators as usually they are unreceptive to those not residing in their districts! Please forward to your peer organizations in Houston, San Antonio, Austin, Fort Worth and across Texas! Please help save some dogs from uninformed owners not knowing what could go wrong! Following are images of the treasured dog I had, who didn't get the benefit of me receiving warnings from a city operated animal shelter, a mobile clinic and others, so I could have acted early to prevent her losing 25% to 33% of her potential lifespan---

Charles Savoie  
Bedford Texas

The fourth attachment “Adoption Groups” has suggestions for better facilitating the public adopting pets from shelters and rescue organizations! If you detect a tone of frustration in that document, disregard it and think the suggestions over for facilitating better pet adoption outcomes! If for any reason you are concerned about opening either attachment, virus scan first or request me to PASTE the contents onto an email form so you can review! The third attachment has scans of info dispensed by my city of residence showing two major failings---1) no warning about “not spayed means more mammary tumors and infections and 2) It offers a very unconvincing \$10 discount if the pet’s status is “affirmative” as to spay/neuter.

According to People Finders there are 78 persons in the USA by my name. I know none of them.



**CAPA'S CANINE BREAST  
CANCER PROJECT**  
**No Donations Needed!**  
**However ACTION Is**  
**Desperately Needed!**



As with earlier dogs Capa had additional names; Capogens (this one used to summon her to nighttime rest); Little Krakka; Cradapra; Crad; Raddapa; Craddapa; Kaypo; Little Corns (from the small amount of cornmeal she got in salmon patties) and other names. Are you willing to let other dogs die of eventual suffocation, rather than post warning on your sites that spay isn't only for overpopulation, but also to prevent mammary cancer? Can you not warn your fellow Texans so some don't remain uninformed, and their dogs in danger? ---

Would you have let her suffocate rather than warn me  
About "not spayed often means mammary cancer  
That spreads to the lungs and causes death?"



